

1 Carolin Kay Shining, Esq. (SBN 201140)  
2 Brian D. Park, Esq. (SBN 248994)  
3 **SHINING & PARK LAW**  
4 475 Washington Blvd.  
5 Second Floor  
6 Marina del Rey, CA 90292  
7 Office: (310) 439-3714  
8 Direct: (310) 490-4383  
9 [cshining@shiningandpark.com](mailto:cshining@shiningandpark.com)  
10 [bpark@shiningandpark.com](mailto:bpark@shiningandpark.com)

11  
12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
13 **JOHN DOE**



14 Dated: August 21, 2024

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

JOHN DOE, *an individual*,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
CARLOS PALACIOS, *an individual and in his capacity as the County Administrative Officer for the County of Santa Cruz, et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 5:24-cv-02796-PCP

PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR VIDEO TELECONFERENCE APPEARANCE

[Local Civil Rule 7-11]

Assigned to the  
**Hon. Judge P. Casey Pitts**

Courtroom 8; 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

Date: August 27, 2024  
Time: 1:00 p.m.

TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Carolin K. Shining, Esq., counsel for JOHN DOE, Plaintiff, hereby requests that the Court grant this unopposed administrative motion for

1  
2 appearance of counsel by video teleconference at the Initial Case Management Conference  
3 currently set for August 27, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, United States District  
4 Court, Northern District of California,

5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 This matter was recently filed in the Northern District of California on May 9, 2024. [ECF  
7 1]. Defendants did not file any initial dispositive motions but instead answered on June 27, 2024  
8 [ECF 10].

9 On June 27, 2024, Defendants filed a declination of proceeding before a Magistrate Judge  
10 [ECF 11] all pending set dates were vacated, and then the matter was reassigned to the Hon. Judge  
11 P. Casey Pitts for all further proceedings [ECF 13].

12 On July 3, 2024, Initial Case Management Conference (ICMC) was set for August 29, 2024  
13 at 1:00 p.m. [ECF 14]

14 On July 9, 2024, the ICMC was advanced from August 29, 2024 to August 27, 2024. [ECF  
15].

16 On August 15, 2024, a Joint Case Management Conference Statement (JCMCS) was filed  
17 by the parties. [ECF 17].

18 Shortly after the meet and confer process in preparing the JCMCS, Counsel for Plaintiff  
19 Carolin K. Shining asked Counsel for Defendant Melissa Shaw if she would join and/or oppose a  
20 motion for Plaintiff's Counsel to appear by video teleconference at the August 27, 2024 ICMC.  
21 Counsel for Defendant stated that she would not oppose such a motion. [Declaration of Carolin  
22 K. Shining filed concurrently herewith].

23 This administrative request is being made seven (7) days prior to the hearing date per local  
24 rules. Carolin K. Shining and Brian Park will be able to both appear without additional expense  
25 to the client. Information as to the persons who will be in attendance to the Court's Clerk will be  
26 provided immediately following any grant of this request.

27       ///

28       ///

SHINING & PARK LAW  
475 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD  
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Good cause is shown for granting this request:
  - a. Plaintiff's counsel's offices are located in Los Angeles and Orange County. (Shining Decl., Para. 1).
  - b. Traveling to San Jose would constitute travel in excess of 300 miles and require over five hours of driving time. (Shining Decl., Para. 2).
  - c. Technological capabilities of appearing for case management conference hearings are prolific and Plaintiff's counsel are familiar with the technological requirements needed to appear in a professional manner at remote court hearings. (Shining Decl., Para. 3).
  - d. COVID variants have reappeared to become highly prevalent throughout California. (Shining Decl., Para. 4).
  - e. As required by the Court's standing orders, Lead Co-Counsel, Carolin Shining, will appear on the video teleconference fully prepared and well versed in the issues, thereby ensuring compliance with the Court's standing orders. (Shining Decl., Para. 5).
  - f. To the extent that a videoconference is permitted, Lead Co-Counsel Brian Park will be able to appear without added expense or use of resources. (Shining Decl., Para. 6).
2. Given the difficulties in travel, the experience of Plaintiff's counsel in appearing via teleconference and the current level of risk of spreading COVID during this time, it is reasonable to grant this request to appear by video teleconference for this initial case management conference.

### III. ARGUMENT

The Northern District of California including this court are well equipped in the technology required for video teleconference appearances. Although the Court's Standing Orders state that video teleconference appearance requests are not always granted, this court's current calendar includes several Clerk's Notices setting other civil matters for videoconference also on August 27, 2024. (See e.g., *Ervine v. Clarke*, No. C 00-3274 PJH (N.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2006) (Hamilton,

1 J.) (final trial order granting plaintiff-prisoner leave to appear via video conferencing from a  
2 Department of Corrections facility).

3 As Defense Counsel have stated that they do not oppose this request, a video appearance  
4 will not prejudice them or the court process.

5  
6 **Conclusion and Relief Sought**

7 Plaintiff respectfully requests that counsel for Plaintiff be allowed to appear via video  
8 teleconference for the Initial Case Management Conference on August 27, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. only.

9  
10 Date: August 20, 2024

SHINING & PARK LAW

11  
12 /s/ Carolin K. Shining /s/  
13 Carolin K. Shining, Esq.  
14 Brian D. Park, Esq.  
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
16 JOHN DOE

SHINING & PARK LAW  
475 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD  
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292

## **CERTIFICATION OF CONFERENCE**

I certify that I have conferred with opposing counsel regarding the motion for video teleconference appearance and do not oppose this motion.

Date: August 20, 2024

## SHINING & PARK LAW

Carolin K. Shining, Esq.  
Brian D. Park, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**JOHN DOE**

SHINING & PARK LAW  
475 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD  
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292

SHINING & PARK LAW  
475 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD  
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1      Carolin Kay Shining, Esq. (SBN 201140)  
2      Brian D. Park, Esq. (SBN 248994)  
2      **SHINING & PARK LAW**  
3      475 Washington Blvd.  
3      Second Floor  
4      Marina del Rey, CA 90292  
4      Office: (310) 439-3714  
5      Direct: (310) 490-4383  
5      [cshining@shiningandpark.com](mailto:cshining@shiningandpark.com)  
6      [bpark@shiningandpark.com](mailto:bpark@shiningandpark.com)

7      *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
7      *JOHN DOE*

8  
9      **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10     **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11     **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

12  
13     JOHN DOE, *an individual*,  
14     Plaintiff,  
15  
16     vs.  
17     CARLOS PALACIOS, *an individual and in his*  
18     *capacity as the County Administrative Officer*  
18     *for the County of Santa Cruz, et al.*,  
19     Defendants.

20  
21     **Case No.: 5:24-cv-02796-PCP**

22  
23     **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

24     **[Local Civil Rule 7-11]**

25     **Assigned to the**  
26     **Hon. Judge P. Casey Pitts**

27     **Courtroom 8; 4<sup>th</sup> Floor**

28     **Date: August 27, 2024**

29     **Time: 1:00 p.m.**

30     *///*  
31     *///*  
32     *///*  
33     *///*  
34     *///*  
35     *///*  
36     *///*  
37     *///*  
38     *///*

1  
2 I certify and declare that I am over 18 years of age, employed in the County of Los  
3 Angeles, State of California, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 475  
4 Washington Blvd., Marina del Rey, CA 90292. On **August 20, 2024**, I served documents  
5 described as:

6 **PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
FOR VIDEO TELECONFERENCE APPEARANCE**

7 on the interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy (ies) thereof as follows:

8 MELISSA C. SHAW, State Bar No. 232275, Assistant County Counsel  
9 Office of the Santa Cruz County Counsel  
10 701 Ocean Street, Room 505, Santa Cruz, California 95060  
Email: melissa.shaw@santacruzcountyca.gov

11 **[ x ] BY MAIL** I caused such envelope to be deposited in the mail at Marina del Rey,  
12 California. The envelope(s) were mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily  
13 familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is  
14 deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business.

15 **[ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE** I personally served this document upon the persons or entities  
16 named.

17 **[ ] BY OVERNIGHT SERVICE** I caused such envelope to be picked-up at Marina del Rey,  
18 California and delivered by \_\_\_\_\_ overnight mail.

19 **[ X ] BY EMAIL** I sent email, a copy of said document(s) to the foregoing addressee(s)  
identified above.

20 I hereby certify and declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of  
21 California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this August 20, 2024 at Marina del  
22 Rey, California.

23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 /s/ Carolin Shining /s/  
25  
26  
27  
28

Carolin Shining

1      Carolin Kay Shining, Esq. (SBN 201140)  
2      Brian D. Park, Esq. (SBN 248994)  
2      **SHINING & PARK LAW**  
3      475 Washington Blvd.  
3      Second Floor  
4      Marina del Rey, CA 90292  
4      Office: (310) 439-3714  
5      Direct: (310) 490-4383  
5      [cshining@shiningandpark.com](mailto:cshining@shiningandpark.com)  
6      [bpark@shiningandpark.com](mailto:bpark@shiningandpark.com)

7      *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
7      *JOHN DOE*

8  
9      **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10     **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11     **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

12  
13     JOHN DOE, *an individual,*

14     Plaintiff,

15     vs.

16     CARLOS PALACIOS, *an individual and in his*  
17     *capacity as the County Administrative Officer*  
18     *for the County of Santa Cruz, et al.,*

19     Defendants.

20  
21     **Case No.: 5:24-cv-02796-PCP**

22  
23     **DECLARATION OF CAROLIN K.**  
24     **SHINING**

25     [Local Civil Rule 7-11]

26     *Assigned to the*  
27     *Hon. Judge P. Casey Pitts*

28     **Courtroom 8; 4<sup>th</sup> Floor**

29     **Date: August 27, 2024**

30     **Time: 1:00 p.m.**

31  
32     I, Carolin K. Shining, am counsel for Plaintiff in the above-identified matter, and I am  
33     familiar with the case and pleadings therein. Based on matters within my personal knowledge  
34     and as stated, on information and belief, I make the following declaration and if called upon to  
35     testify thereto, would so testify:

36     1. The offices of Shining & Park Law are located in Los Angeles and Orange County.

- 1 2. Traveling to San Jose would constitute travel in excess of 300 miles and require over five  
hours of driving time.
- 3 3. Technological capabilities of appearing for case management conference hearings are  
prolific and Plaintiff's counsel are familiar with the technological requirements needed to  
appear in a professional manner at remote court hearings.
- 4 4. COVID variants have reappeared to become highly prevalent throughout California.
- 5 5. As required by the Court's standing orders, as co-lead counsel, I will appear on the video  
teleconference fully prepared and well versed in the issues, thereby ensuring compliance  
with the Court's standing orders.
- 6 6. To the extent that a videoconference is permitted, my partner and co-lead counsel, Brian  
Park will also be able to appear without added expense or use of resources.
- 7 7. Given the difficulties in travel, the experience of Plaintiff's counsel in appearing via  
teleconference and the current level of risk of spreading COVID during this time, it is  
reasonable to grant this request to appear by video teleconference for this initial case  
management conference.
- 8 8. Prior to making this motion, I contacted Melissa Shaw, counsel for Defendants, and asked  
if she would join in the motion. She stated that she intended to appear in person, but  
would not oppose any motion to appear via videoconference.

Executed this August 20<sup>th</sup> of 2024, in Marina del Rey, California

\_\_\_\_/s/ Carolin Shining /s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Carolin K. Shining, Esq.  
Brian D. Park, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
JOHN DOE